Interview Summary	Application No	D.	Applicant(s)	
	09/785,992		SHOEMAKER, CALVIN L.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Angel R. Estrac	ia	2831	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
1) <u>Angel R. Estrada</u> . (3)				
(2)	(4)			
Date of Interview: 26 June 2004.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed:				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The Examiner has been trying to contact Calvin L. Shoemaker (Inventor) by telephone, but the telephone numbers he provided on the application are no longer in service. Since more that 6 months have passed without any response to the Office Action mailed on December 11, 2003, the Examiner assumed that the application was abandonded. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims</u>				
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	_ E:	xaminer's signa	ture, if required	